



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/894,566 06/28/2001 Masato Imai 09793822-0149 07/14/2003 Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal EXAMINER P.O. Box #061080 NGUYEN, HOAN C Wacker Drive Station - Sears Tower Chicago, IL 60606 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2871

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sign 1			Di-
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/894,566	IMAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 27 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment which	ntion. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the contraction.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropriate the second control of the secon	on. See MPEP opriate extension
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply one case than three months after the mail	originally set in the final	Office action: or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NO	T place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 1 and 3-13.			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disappr	oved by the Exami	ner.
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
I0. ☐ Other:	OLIDER/8	ROHERT H. KIM SONY PATERIT EXAM NOT CHEY TO	IINER 99

. . .

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The independent claims 1 and 13 have been amended and raised the following new issues, which needs further searches and consideration for new ground rejection:

- (a) Wall structure formed in each of small sized areas obtained on sub-division along the first substrate; said wall structure encompassing a rectangular area of the first substrate;
- (b) a groove structure formed in each of small sized areas in (not directly on ?) the first substrate.